

Option A – EPC with commissioning support

CRITERIA	WEIGHT
<b>Overall score</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>B-BBEE rating</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>B-BBEE rating</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rating of 1 (5)</li> <li>- Rating of 2 (4)</li> <li>- Rating of 3 (3)</li> <li>- Rating of 4 (2)</li> <li>- Additional points for ownership (5)</li> </ul>	10
<b>Returnable documents</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Returnable documents, including company profile including members, project structure diagram showing ownership, B-BBEE information, high level legal and funding structure, key experience and similar projects, Site and technology information</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provided all information (5)</li> <li>- Provided some information (3)</li> <li>- Provided no information (0)</li> </ul>	5
<b>Technical</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Capacity and Capability to deliver the Scope of Services (20)</b> <b>[Qualifications and competence of the key staff in relation to the scope of work]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Very good key experience related to similar projects (20)</li> <li>- Satisfactory key experience related to similar projects (15)</li> <li>- Poor key experience related to similar projects (5)</li> <li>- No key experience related to similar projects (0)</li> </ul>	20
<b>Relevant Experience to Delivery the Scope of Services (10)</b> <b>[Demonstrated experience (past performance) in comparable projects per the stated requirements]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All the requirements met (20)</li> <li>- Three of requirements (15)</li> <li>- Two of requirements met (5)</li> <li>None of requirements met (0)</li> </ul>	10
<b>Experience in Solar PV &amp; BESS Projects (20)</b> <b>[Demonstrated experience (past performance) in comparable projects per the stated requirements]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provided five examples (20)</li> <li>- Provided four examples (15)</li> <li>- Provided three examples (10)</li> <li>- Provided two examples (5)</li> </ul>	20

CRITERIA	WEIGHT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provided one example (0)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Critical information pertaining to site, technology, yield assessment and grid connection (10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Very good (10)</li> <li>- Satisfactory (5)</li> <li>- Poor (0)</li> </ul>	10
<b>Local (South Africa and Limpopo province) spend</b>	<b>25</b>
<p><b>Sourcing of local material (10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Demonstrate 50% and above (10)</li> <li>- Demonstrate from 30% to 49% (5)</li> <li>- Demonstrate from 10% to 29% (2)</li> <li>- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)</li> </ul>	10
<p><b>Local sub-contractors and labour (10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Demonstrate 50% and above (10)</li> <li>- Demonstrate from 30% to 49% (5)</li> <li>- Demonstrate from 10% to 29% (2)</li> <li>- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)</li> </ul>	10
<p><b>Provincial (Limpopo) spend (5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Additional bonus point for demonstrating local spend within Limpopo province of above 20% (5)</li> <li>- Demonstrate from 10% to 19% (2)</li> <li>- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)</li> </ul>	5
Overall submission	5
<p><b>Overall understanding of the Project (5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Excellent (5)</li> <li>- Satisfactory (3)</li> <li>- Failed to address (0)</li> </ul>	5
<b>MINIMUM THRESHOLD</b>	<b>75</b>

Option B – EPC with commissioning support and funding

CRITERIA	WEIGHT
<b>Overall score</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>B-BBEE rating</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>B-BBEE rating</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rating of 1 (5)</li> <li>- Rating of 2 (4)</li> <li>- Rating of 3 (3)</li> <li>- Rating of 4 (2)</li> <li>- Additional points for ownership (5)</li> </ul>	10
<b>Returnable documents</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Returnable documents, including company profile including members, project structure diagram showing ownership, B-BBEE information, high level legal and funding structure, key experience and similar projects, Site and technology information</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provided all information (5)</li> <li>- Provided some information (3)</li> <li>- Provided no information (0)</li> </ul>	5
<b>Technical</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Capacity and Capability to deliver the Scope of Services (20)</b> <b>[Qualifications and competence of the key staff in relation to the scope of work]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Very good key experience related to similar projects (20)</li> <li>- Satisfactory key experience related to similar projects (15)</li> <li>- Poor key experience related to similar projects (5)</li> <li>- No key experience related to similar projects (0)</li> </ul>	20
<b>Relevant Experience to Delivery the Scope of Services (10)</b> <b>[Demonstrated experience (past performance) in comparable projects per the stated requirements]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All the requirements met (20)</li> <li>- Three of requirements (15)</li> <li>- Two of requirements met (5)</li> <li>None of requirements met (0)</li> </ul>	10
<b>Experience in Solar PV &amp; BESS Projects (20)</b> <b>[Demonstrated experience (past performance) in comparable projects per the stated requirements]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provided five examples (20)</li> <li>- Provided four examples (15)</li> <li>- Provided three examples (10)</li> <li>- Provided two examples (5)</li> </ul>	20

CRITERIA	WEIGHT
- Provided one example (0)	
<b>Critical information pertaining to site, technology, yield assessment and grid connection (10)</b>	
- Very good (10)	10
- Satisfactory (5)	
- Poor (0)	
<b>Local (South Africa and Limpopo province) spend</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Sourcing of local material (10)</b>	
- Demonstrate 50% and above (10)	10
- Demonstrate from 30% to 49% (5)	
- Demonstrate from 10% to 29% (2)	
- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)	
<b>Local sub-contractors and labour (10)</b>	
- Demonstrate 50% and above (10)	10
- Demonstrate from 30% to 49% (5)	
- Demonstrate from 10% to 29% (2)	
- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)	
<b>Funding</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Fund-raising experience (10)</b>	
- Provided all information (10)	10
- Provided some information (5)	
- Provided little information (0)	
<b>Equity-raising experience (10)</b>	
- Provided all information (10)	10
- Provided some information (5)	
- Provided little information (0)	
<b>Provincial (Limpopo) spend (5)</b>	
- Additional bonus point for demonstrating local spend within Limpopo province of above 20% (5)	5
- Demonstrate from 10% to 19% (2)	
- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)	
Overall submission	5
<b>Overall understanding of the Project (5)</b>	
- Excellent (5)	5
- Satisfactory (3)	
- Failed to address (0)	
<b>MINIMUM THRESHOLD</b>	<b>85</b>

Option C – IPP (Independent Power Producer) NL

CRITERIA	WEIGHT
<b>Overall score</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>B-BBEE rating</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>B-BBEE rating</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rating of 1 (5)</li> <li>- Rating of 2 (4)</li> <li>- Rating of 3 (3)</li> <li>- Rating of 4 (2)</li> <li>- Additional points for ownership (5)</li> </ul>	10
<b>Returnable documents</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Returnable documents, including company profile including members, project structure diagram showing ownership, B-BBEE information, high level legal and funding structure, key experience and similar projects, Site and technology information</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provided all information (5)</li> <li>- Provided some information (3)</li> <li>- Provided no information (0)</li> </ul>	5
<b>Technical</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Capacity and Capability to deliver the Scope of Services (20)</b> <b>[Qualifications and competence of the key staff in relation to the scope of work]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Very good key experience related to similar projects (20)</li> <li>- Satisfactory key experience related to similar projects (15)</li> <li>- Poor key experience related to similar projects (5)</li> <li>- No key experience related to similar projects (0)</li> </ul>	20
<b>Relevant Experience to Delivery the Scope of Services (10)</b> <b>[Demonstrated experience (past performance) in comparable projects per the stated requirements]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All the requirements met (20)</li> <li>- Three of requirements (15)</li> <li>- Two of requirements met (5)</li> <li>None of requirements met (0)</li> </ul>	10
<b>Experience in Solar PV &amp; BESS Projects (20)</b> <b>[Demonstrated experience (past performance) in comparable projects per the stated requirements]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provided five examples (20)</li> <li>- Provided four examples (15)</li> <li>- Provided three examples (10)</li> <li>- Provided two examples (5)</li> </ul>	20

CRITERIA	WEIGHT
- Provided one example (0)	
<b>Critical information pertaining to site, technology, yield assessment and grid connection (10)</b>	
- Very good (10)	10
- Satisfactory (5)	
- Poor (0)	
<b>Local (South Africa and Limpopo province) spend</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Sourcing of local material (10)</b>	
- Demonstrate 50% and above (10)	10
- Demonstrate from 30% to 49% (5)	
- Demonstrate from 10% to 29% (2)	
- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)	
<b>Local sub-contractors and labour (10)</b>	
- Demonstrate 50% and above (10)	10
- Demonstrate from 30% to 49% (5)	
- Demonstrate from 10% to 29% (2)	
- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)	
<b>Provincial (Limpopo) spend (5)</b>	
- Additional bonus point for demonstrating local spend within Limpopo province of above 20% (5)	5
- Demonstrate from 10% to 19% (2)	
- Demonstrate less than 10% (0)	
<b>IPP requirements</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Relevant Experience to Deliver the Scope of Services (10)</b>	
- Provided five examples (10)	10
- Provided three to four examples (5)	
- Provided one to two examples (3)	
<b>Draft PPA (10)</b>	
- Provided all information (10)	10
- Provided some information (5)	
- Provided little information (0)	
Overall submission	5
<b>Overall understanding of the Project (5)</b>	
- Excellent (5)	5
- Satisfactory (3)	
- Failed to address (0)	
<b>MINIMUM THRESHOLD</b>	<b>85</b>

Reviewer notes: Where threshold is met – do costing comparison

RFI provide minimum information required under each heading, which is utilised to rate (example if all information is provided per the RFI – score full points, etc.)